STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Santa Maria	Coastal	750-10-001
EVALUATED BY		DATE
B. Ruth, #9762		04/05/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454. Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Inform	nal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 04/09/2010			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes V No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW M. L. OLSON	m/Oh	DATE 04/09/20	10
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED N/	
Does the command emphasisincidence of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforcements	ent tactics to achieve the I	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander str	ess importance of proper enforcer	nent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record o	f the command reflect an awarene	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 safety?	and CHP 118s, Performance App	oraisals, contain comment	s on officer	✓ Yes	□No
	enants knowledgeable of enforcen correct use of safety equipment?	nent tactics, physical meth	nods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied	d properly in critiques of incidents i	nvolving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieute	nants maintain a minimum level o	f enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involv	ed in officer safety, what are the re	easons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATIO	N	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a. Do training records indicate for	ormal training has been received a	and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
	I certification of traffic officers and sof arrest, and the proper use of soled for:				
(a) Searching technique	95.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipn	nent.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportati	on.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head	pperation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

J1 11	1000	(1.000.	0 00/ 01 1 000				
	(2)	ls th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tirand sergeants?	mely recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
b			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit invegeneral observations to determine if proper enforcement			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel un	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indicate a t	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	oficient and ensure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Is r	refres	her training required prior to certification?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish co	ertification indicated on the	he CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐Yes	✓ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	norough and continuous (proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d	, Do	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	(2) Has an individual been given responsibility for the program?					
	(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained? ☑ Yes ☐ No						
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and ec	quipment available for of	ficer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	Officer Safety Testing	is conducted by AOST	(Advanced	Officer
		Saf	ety Testing) instructors. During the officers' annual cert	tification, "hands on" and	d simunitions training is	s taught.	
	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tr	aining?		✓ Yes	□No
3. S	AFE	TY EC	QUIPMENT	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all t duty, in uniform?	uniformed personnel, cap	ptain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is O	C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations is utilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking shee	ets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the su spray on the CHP 121?	upervisors noting the use	e/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing the affecte	ed area with clear	✓ Yes	□No
_							

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

_							
			(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	f saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?			
	b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty hols	ters?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste the safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
_		(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	ds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
_		(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all w	/eapons are unloaded pr	ior to holster-		
			related exercises?			✓ Yes	□ No
_	C.	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
_	d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	stops?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved by	paton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□ No
	ı	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, pearmor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instruction.		•	□Yes	□No
			(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	al examination?)/ A	☐Yes	□No
			holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hando jectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	-	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	((2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		✓ Yes	☐ No
4.	FIR	EA	RMS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
-	a.	Has	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	charge of firearms been of	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	((1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
			(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ling of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	b	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	((1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies? R	fer attached m	remorandum.	✓ Yes	□No
	((2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	((3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized we	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
	c, I	Doe	es the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	((1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officer	rs?		✓ Yes	□No
-	((2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
-	((3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	((4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
-			(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□ No

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) Page 3 of 7

Destroy Previous Editions

c453s606.pdf

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

d	. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities? N/A	Yes	□ No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	Yes	□ No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□ No
е	. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	Yes	□ No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? Quarterly and its are conducted.	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	√ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? Last order received: 4/2/2010.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? Aud. +: 2/10/2010.	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
fa	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g	. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

1				
	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)? これらず16 is correct	Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)? CHP 269 は こりにといす。	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	ls training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)? ETRS printout is current.	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	. ✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
	ie If A	area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers?		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
j	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5.	PHYS	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED N/	
,	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
ŀ	o. We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Punches.	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Strikes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Blocks.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	√ Yes	□No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	✓ Yes	□No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	1000 (10110 00) 01 1000				
С	Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	o is standing, kneeling, pr	one, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of department		✓ Yes	□No	
d	Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens	sive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?			
	(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	orough searches been obs	erved?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	ne opposite sex as outline	d in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFORCEMENT TACTICS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a	Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure of the five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be follow	ed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b	Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety apprehending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcement stop	s and when	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed wh the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard p		lity to safely control	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			✓ Yes	□No
C.	Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied a situations? Active Shooter Trans	- , ,	officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	of the incident until relieve	ed by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	t all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rer	•	-	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine hostage incidents?	mine their knowledge of t		✓ Yes	□No
7. P	URSUITS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a	Are all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits?	77.50	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) When to discontinue?			√ Yes	□No
	(3) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits listed in policy?	comply with enforcemen	t guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective ac	ctions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
b.	Does the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper pursuits? departmental policy		agencies during	✓ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

(1) Are any written agreements on file?	☐Yes	☑ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	Yes	✓ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. FORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED N/	
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? Quarterly rev	ie W. ☑Yes	□No
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted?	□Yes	□No
9. ROADBLOCKS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED N/	
a. Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deployment of the hollow spike strip?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? Δρες 50ρ	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	☐ Yes	✓ No
10. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED N/	
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?	✓ Yes	□No

Memorandum

Date:

February 10, 2010

To:

Coastal Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Santa Maria Area

File No.:

750.012036.009762

Subject:

SHOOTING QUALIFICATION AND TRAINING - 2009

Per HPM 70.8, Chapter 3-1, Santa Maria Area submits the following report identifying those uniformed employees who missed more than three primary firearm shoots and/or more than one shotgun or rifle shoot per year 2009. Make-up shoots were not made up.

Quarterly Rifle Shoots:

Eight officers missed more than one quarterly shoot.





Quarterly Shotgun Shoots:

Eight officers missed more than one quarterly shoot.





Monthly Primary Firearm Shoots:

Eight officers missed more than three monthly shoots:





Safety, Service, and Security

Shooting Qualification and Training - 2009 Page 2 February 10, 2010

he missed all shoots during that time. That shoulder surgery and missed the first quarter shoots.

When Santa Maria switched to the 3/12 shift schedule in August 2009, Area failed to ensure that the officers working C-Shift adjusted their work schedules to attend the range (indoor range closes at 1800 hours, while C-Shift began at 1800 hours. C-Shift now begins at 1745 hours). Therefore, Officers did not meet the required shoots.

Moreover, supervisory oversight for this required training was lacking. As the commander, I take responsibility for this. Therefore, effective immediately, range days will be posted on the Master Schedule in addition to the daily beat schedule. "Range Master of Santa Maria" shooting range has agreed to stay open later on specific days to allow our C-Shift officers to shoot. Quarterly shotgun and rifle shoots will be scheduled to include Area training days to ensure all uniformed employees will meet their shooting and qualification requirements. Finally, better monthly supervisory oversight controls have been put into place to preclude this from occurring again.

Questions may be directed to me at (805) 349-8728.

M.L. OLSON, Lieutenant

Commander

STATE (IF QALIFORNIA JEPARTIJENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS	DOCUMENT
Page 1 of 2	

Page 1	l of 2
--------	--------

Division: Chapter: Command: 17 Santa Maria Coastal Inspected by: Date: April 9,2010 Sgt Ben Ruth

comber of the inspection in the Chashall be routed to and its due date	apter Inspection This docume	on number. Under "Forvent shall be utilized to do	vard to:" enter the ne cument innovative p	fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter ext level of command where the document practices, suggestions for statewide pe used if additional space is required.
YEE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expende	ed on the	Corrective Action Plan Included
Division Level X Command Level		inspection:		
Executive Office Level		17		Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:		ird to: al Division Date: April 9, 2010	_ J.M.S w	
Yes X No		Chesa Sestante de la companya		
Chapter Inspection:	Partie and Partie Section			
in a set or of Constraints D		anaustiva Drastica		(1) 中国的中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国
inspector's Comments Re	egarding t	nnovative Practice	8.	
None.				
Command Suggestions for	or Statewi	de Improvement:		
, 00				
None.				
		5		
Inspector's Findings:				

Recommendations from last year were put into action: All 311s were found to be up to date, and all 100 Forms now contain occ safety comments.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA SEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM **EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

and the first of the second se

Command: Santa Maria	Division: Coastal	Chapter:
Inspected by:		Date:
Sqt Ben Ruth		April 9,2010

Page 2 of 2		
	IDa Nat Carray (D. N. C.	
Commander's Response: x Concur or	DO NOT CONCUR (Do Not Concur shall docur	nent basis for response)
concur with the findings of this inspection action. However, this inspection identified		
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non c	concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revise	ed, findings unchanged,
None.		
Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		MATERIA SA SERIES SE S
N/A		
Figure would like to discuss this report with the reviewer (See HPM 9.1 Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	4-16-10 DATE
	SAT Ben Roth By Mix Oh-	4-16-10
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	4/27/10